

SWABEY  
OGILVY RENAULT  
S.E.N.C.

PATENT AND TRADE MARK AGENTS

Kent Daniels - Tel: (613) 230 6072 Ext. 225

Ottawa  
January 24, 2000

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
U.S.A.

Sir:

**Re: New United States Patent Application**  
**Title: PACKET DATA TRAFFIC CONTROL**  
**FOR CELLULAR WIRELESS**  
**NETWORKS**  
**Inventor: Richard A. Lodge, et al**  
**Assignee: Nortel Networks Corporation**  
**Our File: 9-13528-77US**

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application of:

**INVENTORS: LODGE, Richard A. et al**

**TITLE OF THE INVENTION:**

**PACKET DATA TRAFFIC CONTROL FOR CELLULAR**  
**WIRELESS NETWORKS**

The following documents are enclosed:

- a) Specification/Claims/Abstract with  
Declaration/Power of Attorney
- b) Assignment in favor of Nortel Networks Corporation  
with Recordation Form PTO-1595
- c) Formal Drawings (2 Sheets - Figs. 1 -2)

ROBERT MITCHELL  
GUY J. HOULE  
PAUL MARCOUX  
KEVIN P. MURPHY  
JOHN D. MILLER  
ROBERT CARRIER  
MICHEL SOFIA  
FRANCE CÔTÉ  
CÉLINE TREMBLAY  
MAX R. WOOD  
JAMES ANGLEHART  
PAUL J. FIELD  
PATRICIA SEGUIN  
WAYNE YAN  
FRANÇOIS NADEAU  
KENT DANIELS

JOAN CLARK, Q.C.  
C. ROSS CARSON  
MALCOLM E. MCLEOD  
J. NELSON LANDRY  
DANIEL I. LACK  
PATRICK E. KIERANS  
CLAUDE BRUNET  
TERRANCE J. MC MANUS  
JOHANNE GAUTHIER  
DANIELLE BOUTET  
KENNETH E. SHARPE  
JUDITH ROBINSON  
DANIEL S. DRAPEAU  
GEORGE R. LOCKE  
NICOLAS SAPP  
BRIAN DALEY  
LEIGH D. CRESTOHL  
JOHANNE CHRIQUE  
BENOÎT CLERMONT  
LEANNE SOUQUET

*Administrator*  
JAMES A. FRASER

ALAN SWABEY [1909-1990]  
MELVIN SHER [1935-1994]

A FIRM IN WHICH SWABEY, MITCHELL,  
HOULE, MARCOUX & SHER AND  
THE LAW FIRM OGILVY RENAULT  
ARE PARTNERS

MONTRÉAL OTTAWA TORONTO QUÉBEC

1981 MCGILL COLLEGE AVENUE, SUITE 1600, MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC, CANADA H3A 2Y3  
TELEPHONE (514) 845-7126 FACSIMILE (514) 288-8389

jc639 U.S. PTO  
01/24/00

jc675 U.S. PTO  
09/489929  
01/24/00

A

09489929-012400

## Assistant Commissioner of Patents

- d) Information Disclosure Statement with PTO Form 1449
- e) Our cheque No. 008627 covering:
- |   |                 |
|---|-----------------|
| filing fee                              | \$690.00        |
| recording of assignment                 | \$ 40.00        |
| independent claims in excess of 3 (nil) | \$ -            |
| claims in excess of 20 (34 @ \$18)      | <u>\$612.00</u> |
| Total                                   | \$1,342.00      |

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to our Account No. 19-5113.

Please note that the address of the agents for the applicant in this matter should be the Montreal address identified in the enclosed Declaration/Power of Attorney. Correspondence may be directed to Kent Daniels at the Montreal address of Swabey Ogilvy Renault.

Respectfully submitted



Kent Daniels  
Reg. No. 44,206  
Agent of Record  
Encls.  
As above

0011210-62668450

PACKET DATA TRAFFIC CONTROL FOR CELLULARWIRELESS NETWORKSCROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

5 This is the first application filed for the present invention.

MICROFICHE APPENDIX

Not applicable.

TECHNICAL FIELD

10 The present invention relates to wireless networks, and in particular to a method of increasing throughput of packetized data traffic over a wireless network.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15 In recent years there has been an exponential increase in demand for wireless communication devices, which was initiated by the development of cellular telephones. Many new applications have been developed that use wireless devices for transmitting digital data. These applications include communications services such as electronic mail and text paging, wireless World Wide Web  
20 access, and wireless file transfer, etc. The use of digitally modulated radio signals, e.g. code division multiple access (CDMA), for packetized data transfer has generated a demand for faster transfer rates.

25 Digitally modulated radio signals are subject to degraded signal quality as a result of: multipath fading caused by reflections from environmental structures such as

hilly terrain and/or buildings; doppler effects resulting from movement of mobile communications devices; channel interference; and background noise corruption. Multipath fading and doppler effects cause inter-symbol interference (ISI) which can undesirably affect performance during data transfer. Channel interference in which signals transmitted on one channel (carrier frequency) are detected as noise on other channels, results in similar degradation of data transfer performance. In extreme cases, a bit-error rate (BER) of a digital communications link can reach levels that are outside the permitted tolerance for acceptable or useful data communications.

Various methods have been proposed for maximizing the performance of wireless communications networks. For example, it is known to reduce channel interference by adjusting the transmission power of base stations and wireless terminals of the network. Conventional power control techniques typically adjust wireless terminal transmission powers based on a determination of the mean or average of the interference level detected at a base station. Examples of such power control techniques are provided by J. Zander, in "Distributed Cochannel Interference Control in Cellular Radio Systems," IEEE Trans. Vehic. Tech., v. 41(3), pp. 305-311 (Aug. 1992); by G.J. Foschini et al., in "A Simple Distributed Autonomous Power Control Algorithm and Its Convergence," IEEE Trans. Vehic. Tech., v. 42(4), pp. 641-646 (Nov. 1993); by A.J. Viterbi, in "CDMA-Principles of Spread Spectrum Communication," ch. 4.7, pp. 113-119 (Addison-Wesley Pub. Co. 1995); by S.V. Hardy, in "An Algorithm for Combined Cell-Site Selection and Power Control to Maximize Cellular

Spread Spectrum Capacity," IEEE J. Selected Areas in Comm., v. 13, no. 7, pp. 1332-1340 (Sept. 1995); and, by R.D. Yates, in "A Framework for Uplink Power Control in Cellular Radio Systems," IEEE J. Selected Areas in Comm., v.13, no. 7, pp. 1341-1347 (Sept. 1995). However, the use of the simple mean of the received interference in determining the transmission power often produces a power level that is higher than necessary, causing higher than necessary levels of interference at the base stations. As a result, connection capacity in such systems are still overly limited. United States Patent No. 5,732,328 (which issued to Mitra et al. on March 24, 1998) teaches an alternative method, in which transmission power is controlled on a basis of an information class (i.e. voice, audio or data) of traffic on each link. The method taught by Mitra et al. permits further reductions in transmission power, with corresponding reductions in co-channel interference.

The above examples illustrate ongoing efforts to increase wireless data throughput by reducing channel interference. Each of these systems seek to minimize transmission power on every link, while maintaining acceptable performance in terms of session quality of service requirements on the link in question. Thus if any one or more of carrier-to-interference (C/I) ratio; the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio; or bit error rate (BER) of any particular link falls outside of an acceptable range, then transmission power on that link is increased. However, that power increase may cause performance reductions on other links due to increased channel interference, while only being effective to recover marginal performance on the link in question. Consequently, conventional methods of

reducing channel interference may have an effect of sacrificing data throughput on all links (and thus over-all data through-put) in an effort to maintain marginal data throughput on a small number of poorly-performing links.

5           Accordingly, a technique for controlling data traffic over a plurality of communications links of a wireless communications network which avoids unnecessarily diminishing overall network data throughput is highly desirable.

10    SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

          An object of the present invention is to provide a method of controlling data traffic in a wireless data communications network in which overall data throughput is increased by at least temporarily interrupting data  
15   transfer over poorly performing links of the network.

          Accordingly, an aspect of the present invention provides a method of controlling data traffic in a wireless data communications system comprising a plurality of data communications wireless links, the method comprising the  
20   steps of: identifying a poorly performing wireless link; and at least temporarily interrupting data transmission over the poorly performing wireless link. By this means, interference caused by the poorly performing wireless link is eliminated, and overall data throughput on the remaining  
25   links of the wireless data communications system is improved.

          Another aspect of the present invention provides a wireless data communications network comprising a base station capable of wireless communication with each of one

or more wireless terminals over respective wireless links, the network comprising: computing means for identifying a poorly performing wireless link; and control means for at least temporarily interrupting data transmission over the  
5 poorly performing wireless link.

A further aspect of the present invention provides a base station of a wireless data communications network, the base station being adapted for bi-directional communications with each of one or more wireless terminals  
10 over respective wireless links, the base station comprising: computing means for identifying a poorly performing wireless link; and control means for at least temporarily interrupting data transmission over the poorly performing wireless link.

The computing means preferably comprises means for monitoring one or more performance parameters related to each wireless link, and comparing each monitored performance parameter to a respective predetermined tolerance range. The one or more performance parameters  
15 concerning each wireless link are preferably any one or more of a quality-of-service (QOS) metric, and interference on the link.

In an embodiment of the invention, at least one of the performance parameters related to each wireless link  
25 may be based on interference on the wireless link, and comprises any one or more of: a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio; a carrier-to-interference (C/I) ratio; a bit-error-rate (BER) user data through-put rate; and a number of suspended frames. At least one of the  
30 performance parameters related to each wireless link may be

an average, taken over a number n of successive bursts, of any one or more of the S/N ratio; the C/I ratio; the user data through-put; and the BER. The control means is preferably adapted to suspend transmission of a data frame  
5 over the poorly performing wireless link. Transmission of the data frame may be resumed after a delay period, which may have a random length.

The computing means may also be adapted to maintain a count of suspended frames. In that case, the control  
10 means is preferably adapted to suspend a communications session over the link if the number of suspended frames exceeds a predetermined threshold. The session may be restarted after a predetermined delay, which may have a random length.

In accordance with an embodiment of the invention,  
15 at least one of the performance parameters respecting each link is a QOS performance parameter comprising any one or more of a data transmission delay, and a number of dropped frames. The control means is preferably adapted to drop a  
20 data frame transmitted over the poorly performing wireless link. The dropped frame may be retransmitted after a delay period, which may be a random delay.

The computing means may be adapted to maintain a count of dropped frames. In that case, the control means  
25 is preferably adapted to drop the session if the count of dropped frames exceeds a predetermined threshold.

Preferably, the computing means is adapted to predict whether a QOS performance parameter will fail to satisfy a QOS requirement for a communications session on



the link. Similarly, the control means is adapted to preemptively drop a data frame being transmitted over the poorly performing wireless link.

5 An advantage of the present invention is that it can be implemented without making any changes to existing network infrastructure. Thus, the present invention provides a means for improving overall data throughput and quality of service at minimum cost to the network service provider.

10 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Further features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description, taken in combination with the appended drawings, in which:

15 Fig. 1. is a schematic diagram illustrating an exemplary wireless communications network usable in conjunction with the present invention; and

Fig. 2 is a flow chart illustrating exemplary steps in a process in accordance with the present invention.

20 It will be noted that throughout the appended drawings, like features are identified by like reference numerals.

**DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT**

25 An exemplary wireless communication network 2 is shown in Fig. 1. The wireless network 2 includes a plurality of base stations 4a-4d linked to a fixed-link network (not shown) such as, for example, the Public

Switched Telephone Network (PSTN), a broadband network employing asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) packet switching, or the Internet. Alternatively, the base stations 4 may be connected to such fixed-link networks through one or more intermediate bridging components such as a switching center (not shown).

The base stations 4 provide wireless communications services to wireless terminals 6a-d located within respective geographic service areas 8a-d (i.e. cells) proximate each respective base station 4a-d. Bi-directional data traffic between a base station 4 and a wireless terminal 6 within its respective cell 8 is handled by respective up-link and down-link paths of wireless data communications link 10. The size of each cell 8 may vary in accordance with the respective transmission power of each base station 4 and the environment in which each base station 4 is operating. Overlap regions (not shown) typically exist between adjacent cells 8 to enable hand-off between respective base stations 4. This ensures substantially uninterrupted communications of a mobile wireless terminal 6 as it travels across cell boundaries. Suitable overlap regions conform to those used in conventional wireless networks. The overlap regions are not shown for ease of illustration.

The base stations 4 are well known in the art and are commercially available from, for example, Nortel Networks. Similarly, the wireless terminals 6 can be, for example, mobile units, such as conventional cellular or PCS telephones, portable wireless modems for laptop computers, personal digital assistants (PDA's), or stationary units, such as wireless modems associated with desktop computers

or multimedia devices. The wireless network 2 may also be a municipal area network (MAN) covering a large urban area, a small indoor network with a relatively small coverage area, such as an office building or a shopping mall, or a small outdoor network such as a University campus. The method of wireless communication employed by the network 2 is, for example, a spread spectrum digital communications technique including code division multiple access (CDMA), such as that adhering to the Telecommunication Industry Association Interim Standard 95. However, it is alternatively possible to use a time division multiple access (TDMA) scheme such as that adhering to the Telecommunication Industry Association Interim Standard 136, as well as other digital multiple access techniques.

The network 2 supports both delay tolerant and delay intolerant wireless communication sessions between base stations 4 and the wireless terminals 6. Exemplary delay intolerant sessions include, for example, voice, audio and video. Delay tolerant sessions including data transfers such as text or numerical information. Each session type typically has an associated service profile of quality of service (QoS) requirements, which may, for example, be expressed in terms of data transmission (i.e. packet or frame) delay tolerance by an end-user of a wireless terminal 6.

Data transmitted during an active communications session (i.e. between a base station 4 and wireless terminal 6 over a respective wireless link 10) is in Internet Protocol (IP) packets encapsulated within one or more logical link control (LLC) frames. Other data

protocols and transmission control formats may also be utilized. However, the present invention is particularly suitable for use in conjunction with wireless networks based on packet-switched transmission protocols.

5 In accordance with the present invention, data transmitted over poorly performing wireless links is intentionally dropped or suspended in order to improve the overall throughput of the wireless (packet-switched) network. A packet transmission control algorithm is  
10 provided to identify and drop (or suspend) wireless links based on, for example, two preferred criteria: a quality of service (QOS) requirement violation, and an interference level on the link.

In the case of a QOS requirement violation, if a  
15 transmitted packet or frame has already violated the applicable QOS requirement, then it can be dropped. Alternatively, if it is predicted that the frame (or packet) is very likely to violate the QOS requirement, it can be preemptively dropped.

20 In the case of an interference level on the link, where the QOS is not a factor, the transmission of a frame or packet can be suspended if the current wireless link is determined to be of such poor quality that the predicted data throughput is below a predetermined threshold.

25 The intentional interruption of data communication on poorly performing links frees band-width for use by other links, and also reduces the interference in the system. Both of these effects result in increased overall data throughput of the network by increasing the  
30 performance of the remaining links, and these effects are cumulative.

- 11 -

The concept of dropping a call in a circuit-switched network is very different from that of dropping or suspending a packet or frame transmission in a packet-switched network. In the circuit-switched network, dropping a call implies that the link connection between the originating and terminating users is severed, whereas this is not necessarily true in the case of a dropped frame or packet in a packet-switched network. The dropping of a call in cellular wireless circuit-switched networks usually occurs in the radio link between the serving base station and the mobile user due to a harsh radio frequency (RF) environment. When designing such a system, one of the principal objectives is to minimize the number of dropped calls, which significantly affect the grade of service (GOS) offered to the users by the network provider. In wireless packet-switched network systems, however, the dropping or suspension of a radio link transmission does not automatically result in a breakdown of the connection between a server and a user. The parties to the communications session can still be connected via known logical set up links.

Fig. 2 illustrates steps in an exemplary control algorithm for identifying and dropping and/or suspending data transmission over poorly performing data communications links in accordance with the present invention. The control algorithm is preferably instantiated during initialization of a base station 4, and runs concurrently with other communications processes. The process illustrated in Fig. 2 operates as follows.

At an initial step (step S1), a data communications link between the base station and a wireless terminal is

selected for evaluation, and performance statistics related to the selected link are obtained (step S2). The performance statistics are preferably selected from among statistics normally collected by system management processes of the data communications network 2. These may include QOS-related statistics, such as for example a total frame delay time, as well as link quality statistics such as for example the BER, the S/N ratio, the user data throughput rate, and/or the C/I ratio.

10 The obtained statistics for the link are then compared with predetermined threshold values defining minimum acceptable link performance criteria (step S3).

At step S4 it is determined whether the frame being transmitted over the link has failed, or will fail, to meet the minimum QOS requirements. Various algorithms may be used to implement this determination. Two possible algorithms, where the QOS-related performance statistics are related to data transmission delay are described below.

A first exemplary QOS algorithm assumes that an IP packet is segmented and encapsulated in N LLC frames. The maximum time permitted to transmit each LLC frame of an IP packet is calculated and the respective transmit times are stored. During transmission of each LLC frame, if the LLC frame transmit timer surpasses the maximum transmit time, transmission of the IP packet is dropped (S5) and a counter (D) of IP packet drops for the selected link is incremented (S6).

Based on QoS requirements of the session, the maximum allowable delay for the transmission of an IP

packet is  $t_{ip,max}$ , and the maximum data throughput for the user on the given link is  $S_{max}$  bits/sec. Therefore, the minimum time (delay) for the transmission of the  $j^{th}$  LLC frame is

$$\min(t_{llc,j}) = \frac{\text{\#bits in } j\text{th LLC frame}}{S_{max}} \quad (\text{EQ 1})$$

With this value known, the maximum allowable delay for the transmission of  $n^{th}$  LLC frame can be determined from

$$\max(t_{llc,n}) = \left[ t_{ip,max} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{llc,i} - \sum_{j=n+1}^N \min(t_{llc,j}) \right] \quad (\text{EQ 2})$$

If during the actual transmission of the  $n^{th}$  LLC frame, the delay has already exceeded the above threshold (given by EQ 2), then the current LLC frame, and the remaining LLC frames of the IP packet can be dropped. This pre-emptive dropping of the IP packet is permissible because if the maximum allowable delay of the  $n^{th}$  frame is violated, then the maximum delay tolerance of the IP packet is also certain to be violated, and there is no need to continue transmitting the remaining bits of the IP packet.

A second exemplary QOS algorithm assumes that an IP packet is encapsulated in a single LLC frame, which is generally the case. The LLC frame includes a plurality of RLC blocks, as is well known in the art. In this instance, the transmission of the LLC frame can be dropped based on an average throughput of the last  $m$  RLC blocks of the LLC frame. The rate at which the LLC frame is transmitted will vary depending on the  $C/I$  ratio.

If it takes  $t_m$  seconds to transmit  $m$  RLC blocks, then (based on the transmissions of the most recent  $m$  RLC blocks) the average payload user data throughput can be determined from

$$S_{avg} = \frac{\text{\# payload bits transmitted by the } m \text{ RLC blocks}}{t_m} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

It should be noted that a delay  $t_m$  includes the scheduling and decoding delays in addition to the transmission and retransmission delays. Given the average payload throughput, the time required to transmit the remaining payload bits of an LLC frame can be estimated.

To do so, the number of payload bits and the maximum delay tolerance for the LLC frame are denoted by  $K$  and  $t_{llc, \max}$  respectively. Assuming that  $i$  payload bits have been transmitted during  $t_i$ , the estimated time or delay to transmit the rest of the LLC frame, i.e.  $(K - i)$  bits, is

$$\tilde{t}_{left} = \frac{\text{Remaining payload bits to be transmitted}}{S_{avg}} = \frac{(K - i)}{S_{avg}} \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

The maximum allowable time (delay) remaining to transmit the LLC frame is given by

$$t_{left, \max} = t_{llc, \max} - t_i \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

Thus, if the current allowable delay  $t_{left, \max}$  is less than the predicted transmit delay  $\tilde{t}_{left}$ , that is,

$$t_{left, \max} < \tilde{t}_{left} \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

then the LLC frame is preemptively dropped, because the frame is certain to fail the QoS requirements of the session. This check can be performed regularly, and as



often as once after the transmission of each block, or after the transmission of every  $m$  RLC blocks.

It should be noted that there are many ways to estimate the average throughput  $S_{avg}$  used to predict the transmission time of the LLC frame. The method represented by EQ 3 is just one example. Other methods can be based on a different function such as a weighted average of the past  $m$  RLC blocks, or as a function of a current C/I ratio and an expected number of active users in the system.

Returning now to Fig. 2, if it is determined at step S4 that the current frame has either failed (or is certain to fail) the applicable QOS requirements, then the current frame is dropped (step S5). In the case of a frame being transmitted by a wireless terminal 6 over an up-link path, dropping a frame can be accomplished by flushing data of the frame (or portion thereof) from buffers of the base station, and sending a control message to the corresponding wireless terminal 6 to terminate transmission of data of the dropped frame. In the case of a frame being transmitted to a wireless terminal 6 over a down-link path, dropping a frame can be accomplished by sending a control message to the wireless terminal 6 indicating that the frame has been dropped.

A dropped frame counter is then incremented (step S6) in order to track the number of dropped frames. The value stored in the dropped frame counter can then be compared to a threshold value ( $D_{max}$ ) at step S7, to determine whether the number of frames dropped during the session (or during some other predetermined period of time) has exceeded an acceptable limit. If the result of the

determination at step S7 is "yes", then the communications session over the selected link is dropped at step S8. Dropping the communications session may involve severing communications between parties to the communications session. After the session has been dropped at step S8, a new link is selected at step S9 and processing continues as described above from step S2 with respect to the new link. Alternatively, if it is determined at step S7 that the number of dropped frames has not exceeded the maximum threshold value ( $D_{\max}$ ), the system retries transmission of the dropped frame after a selected delay period (steps S10 and S11). Retransmission of the frame can be accomplished by sending a control signal to the wireless terminal 6 to begin sending (or receiving) the previously dropped frame, starting again from the beginning of the frame. The delay period at step S10 may be a random delay. Once retransmission of the previously dropped frame has begun, the system returns to step S2 to obtain and process performance statistics concerning the frame and the link.

If at step S4 it is determined that the frame will not fail the applicable QOS requirements, the system proceeds to step S12 where it is determined whether excessive interference is being detected on the link. This determination is conveniently based upon any one or more of the BER, the S/N ratio, the user data throughput rate, and the C/I ratio. If the link interference is determined not to be excessive at step S12, the system returns to step S9 to select and analyze a new link. If excessive interference is found at step S12, however, the frame is suspended (at step S13). Suspension of the frame can be accomplished by sending a control signal to the wireless

terminal 6 to indicate that the sending of bits of the frame is to be stopped, but already received bits are retained within memory.

A frame suspended counter is then incremented (at step S14) to count the number of frame suspensions within a predetermined time period, such as, for example, the duration of a communications session. At step S15 it is determined whether the number of frame suspensions exceeds a predetermined threshold value ( $FS_{max}$ ). If the threshold has not been exceeded, the suspended frame is restarted after a predetermined delay (steps S16 and S17). Restarting the frame can be accomplished by sending a control signal to the wireless station 6 to indicate that the sending of bits of the frame to the base station 4 should resume, starting from a point at which the frame was suspended. The delay period at step S16 can be a random delay, and may be the same or different from the delay period at step S10. Following restart of the frame at step S17, the system returns to step S2 to obtain updated performance statistics for the frame and the link and then continues processing of the frame as described above.

If at step S15 it is determined that the number of frame suspensions exceeds the threshold  $FS_{max}$ , then the communications session is suspended (at step S18). As with suspension of a frame, suspension of a session can be accomplished by sending a control signal to the wireless terminal 6, while retaining already received data for the session in memory. The suspended session can then be restarted (step S20) by sending an appropriate control signal to the wireless terminal 6 after a predetermined delay (step S19), prior to restarting the frame (step S17).

The embodiment(s) of the invention described above  
5 is(are) intended to be exemplary only. The scope of the  
invention is therefore intended to be limited solely by the  
scope of the appended claims.

**WE CLAIM:**

1. A method of controlling data traffic in a wireless data communications network comprising a plurality of wireless data communications links, the method comprising the steps of:
  - a) systematically examining performance of the wireless links to identify a poorly performing wireless link; and
  - b) at least temporarily interrupting data transmission over the poorly performing wireless link.
2. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the step of systematically examining performance of the wireless links to identify a poorly performing wireless link comprises steps of monitoring one or more performance parameters related to each wireless link, and comparing each monitored performance parameter to a respective predetermined threshold value.
3. A method as claimed in claim 2, wherein the one or more performance parameters related to each wireless link are based on any one or more of a quality-of-service (QOS), and interference on the wireless link.
4. A method as claimed in claim 3, wherein at least one of the performance parameters related to each wireless link is based on interference on the wireless link, and comprises any one or more of: a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio; a user data throughput rate; a carrier-to-interference (C/I) ratio; a

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

bit-error-rate (BER); and a number of suspended frames.

5. A method as claimed in claim 4, wherein at least one of the performance parameters related to each wireless link comprises an average, taken over a number n of successive bursts, of any one or more of the S/N ratio; the C/I ratio; the user data throughput rate; and the BER.
6. A method as claimed in claim 4, wherein the step of interrupting data transmission over the poorly performing wireless link comprises a step of suspending transmission of a data frame over the poorly performing wireless link.
7. A method as claimed in claim 6, further comprising a step of resuming transmission of the data frame after a delay period.
8. A method as claimed in claim 7, wherein the delay period is a period of random length.
9. A method as claimed in claim 5, further comprising maintaining a count of suspended frames.
10. A method as claimed in claim 9, further comprising suspending a communications session over the wireless link if the count of suspended frames exceeds a predetermined threshold.
11. A method as claimed in claim 10, further comprising restarting the session after a predetermined delay.

12. A method as claimed in claim 11, wherein the predetermined delay is a period of random length.
13. A method as claimed in claim 3, wherein at least one of the performance parameters related to each wireless link is a QOS performance parameter comprising any one or more of a data transmission delay, and a number of dropped frames.
14. A method as claimed in claim 13, wherein the step of interrupting data communications over the poorly performing wireless link comprises a step of dropping a data frame transmitted over the poorly performing wireless link.
15. A method as claimed in claim 13, further comprising a step of re-transmitting the dropped frame after a delay period.
16. A method as claimed in claim 15, wherein the delay period is a period of random length.
17. A method as claimed in claim 13, further comprising maintaining a count of dropped frames.
18. A method as claimed in claim 17, further comprising dropping the session if the number of dropped frames exceeds a predetermined threshold.
19. A method as claimed in claim 13, wherein the step of monitoring a respective performance parameter respecting each wireless link comprises a step of predicting whether a QOS performance parameter is

004270 6253760

certain to violate a corresponding QOS requirement of a communications session on the link.

20. A method as claimed in claim 19, wherein the step of interrupting data communications over the poorly performing wireless link comprises a step of preemptively dropping a data frame being transmitted over the poorly performing wireless link.
21. A wireless data communications network comprising a base station capable of wireless communication with each one of one or more wireless stations over respective communications links, the network comprising:
- a) computing means for identifying a poorly performing wireless link; and
  - b) control means adapted to at least temporarily interrupt data transmission over the poorly performing wireless link.
22. A network as claimed in claim 21, wherein the computing means comprises means for monitoring one or more performance parameters related to each wireless link, and comparing each monitored performance parameter to a respective predetermined tolerance.
23. A network as claimed in claim 22, wherein the one or more performance parameters related to each wireless link are based on any one or more of a quality-of-service (QOS), and interference on the wireless link.

004400 000000



24. A network as claimed in claim 23, wherein at least one of the performance parameters related to each wireless link is based on interference on the link, and comprises any one or more of: a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio; a carrier-to-interference (C/I) ratio; a bit-error-rate (BER); a user data throughput rate; and a number of suspended frames.
25. A network as claimed in claim 24, wherein at least one of the performance parameters related to each wireless link comprises an average, taken over a number n of successive bursts, of any one or more of the S/N ratio; the C/I ratio; the user data throughput rate; and the BER.
26. A network as claimed in claim 24, wherein the control means is adapted to suspend transmission of a data frame over the poorly performing wireless link.
27. A network as claimed in claim 26, further comprising means for resuming transmission of the data frame after a delay period.
28. A network as claimed in claim 27, wherein the delay period is a period of random length.
29. A network as claimed in claim 25, wherein the computing means is further adapted to maintain a count of suspended frames.
30. A network as claimed in claim 29, wherein the control means is adapted to suspend a communications session over the wireless link if the count of suspended frames exceeds a predetermined threshold.

31. A network as claimed in claim 30, further comprising means for restarting the session after a predetermined delay.
32. A network as claimed in claim 31, wherein the predetermined delay is a period of random length.
33. A network as claimed in claim 23, wherein at least one of the performance parameters concerning each wireless link is a QOS performance parameter comprising any one or more of a data transmission delay, and a number of dropped frames.
34. A network as claimed in claim 33, wherein the control means is adapted to drop a data frame transmitted over the poorly performing wireless link.
35. A network as claimed in claim 33, further comprising means for re-transmitting the dropped frame after a delay period.
36. A network as claimed in claim 35, wherein the delay period is a period of random length.
37. A network as claimed in claim 33, wherein the computing means is adapted to maintain a count of dropped frames.
38. A network as claimed in claim 37, wherein the control means is adapted to drop the session if the count of dropped frames exceeds a predetermined threshold.
39. A network as claimed in claim 33, wherein the computing means is adapted to compute a probability

respecting whether the QOS performance parameter is certain to violate a corresponding QOS requirement of a communications session on the link.

40. A network as claimed in claim 39, wherein the control means is adapted to preemptively drop a data frame being transmitted over the poorly performing wireless link.
41. A base station in a wireless data communications network, the base station being adapted for communications with each one of one or more wireless terminals over respective wireless communications links, the base station comprising:
  - a) computing means for identifying a poorly performing wireless link; and
  - b) control means for at least temporarily interrupting data transmission over the poorly performing wireless link.
42. A base station as claimed in claim 41, wherein the computing means comprises means for monitoring one or more performance parameters related to each wireless link, and comparing each monitored performance parameter to a respective predetermined tolerance.
43. A base station as claimed in claim 42, wherein the one or more performance parameters related to each wireless link are based on any one or more of a quality-of-service (QOS), and interference on the link.

44. A base station as claimed in claim 43, wherein at least one of the performance parameters related to each wireless link is based on interference on the link, and comprises any one or more of: a signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio; a user data throughput rate; a carrier-to-interference (C/I) ratio; a bit-error-rate (BER); and a number of suspended frames.
45. A base station as claimed in claim 44, wherein at least one of the performance parameters related to each wireless link comprises an average, taken over a number n of successive bursts, of any one or more of the S/N ratio; the C/I ratio; the user data throughput rate; and the BER.
46. A base station as claimed in claim 44, wherein the control means is adapted to suspend transmission of a data frame over the poorly performing wireless link.
47. A base station as claimed in claim 45, wherein the computing means is further adapted to maintain a count of a number of suspended frames.
48. A base station as claimed in claim 47, wherein the control means is adapted to suspend a communications session over the link if the count of suspended frames exceeds a predetermined threshold.
49. A base station as claimed in claim 43, wherein at least one of the performance parameters related to each wireless link is a QOS performance parameter comprising any one or more of a data transmission delay, and a number of dropped frames.

50. A base station as claimed in claim 49, wherein the control means is adapted to drop a data frame transmitted over the poorly performing wireless link.
51. A base station as claimed in claim 49, wherein the computing means is adapted to maintain a count of dropped frames.
52. A base station as claimed in claim 51, wherein the control means is adapted to drop the session if the count of dropped frames exceeds a predetermined threshold.
53. A base station as claimed in claim 49, wherein the computing means is adapted to compute a probability respecting whether the QOS performance parameter is certain to violate a corresponding QOS requirement of a communications session on the wireless link.
54. A base station as claimed in claim 53, wherein the control means is adapted to preemptively drop a data frame being transmitted over the poorly performing wireless link.

2025 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

**ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE**

Data traffic over a plurality of data communications links of a wireless data communications system is controlled by identifying a poorly performing wireless link, and interrupting data communications over the poorly performing link. The data throughput of remaining links between the transceivers is thus increased due to reduced interference and increased available band-width, because the poorly performing link is no longer transmitting. Interruption of data communications over the poorly performing link may be accomplished by suspending or dropping transmission of a frame. If a frame is predicted to be certain to violate quality of service (QoS) requirements, the frame may be preemptively suspended or dropped. If multiple frames are dropped within a predetermined period, a communications session over the poorly performing link may be dropped. Data communications over the poorly performing link can be resumed following a selected delay period, which may have a random length.

Figure 1





Figure 2





<p align="center"><b>COMBINED DECLARATION FOR UTILITY OR DESIGN PATENT APPLICATION (37 CFR 1.63) AND POWER OF ATTORNEY</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Declaration Submitted with Initial Filing      OR      <input type="checkbox"/> Declaration Submitted after Initial Filing (surcharge (37 CFR 1.16(e)) required)</p>	Attorney Docket Number	9-13528-77US
	First Named Inventor	LODGE, Richard A.
	<i>Complete if known</i>	
	Application Number	
	Filing Date	
	Group Art Unit	
	Examiner Name	

**As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:**

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe that I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

**PACKET DATA TRAFFIC CONTROL FOR CELLULAR WIRELESS NETWORKS**

the specification of which

☒ is attached hereto.

OR

☐ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_  
(mm/dd/yyyy)

as United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number

and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_ (if applicable).  
(mm/dd/yyyy)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or of any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

Prior Foreign Application Number(s)	Country	Foreign Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Priority Not claimed	Certified Copy Attached?	
				YES	NO
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Additional foreign application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto:

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

Application Number(s)	Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional provisional application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto.

COMBINED DECLARATION FOR UTILITY OR DESIGN  
PATENT APPLICATION (37 CFR 1.63) AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 of any United States application(s), or 365(c) of any PCT international application designating the United States of America, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT International filing date of this application.

U.S. Parent Application or PCT Parent Number	Parent Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Parent Patent Number (if applicable)

☐ Additional U.S. or PCT International application numbers are listed on a supplemental priority data sheet PTO/SB/02B attached hereto:

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following registered practitioner(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent Trademark Office connected therewith:

☒ Customer Number: **20988**



**20988**

Direct all correspondence to:



**20988**

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

**Name of sole or First Inventor:**

☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

Given Name (first and middle [if any])

Family Name or Surname

**Richard A.**

**LODGE**

Inventor's Signature

*R. Lodge*

Date

**Jan. 20 / 2000**

Residence: City

**Ottawa**

State

**Ontario**

Country

**Canada**

Citizenship

**Canadian**

Post Office Address

**#107 - 1310 Pinecrest Road**

City

**Ottawa**

Province  
or State

**Ontario**

Postal  
Or Zip

**K2C 3N8**

Country

**Canada**

☒ Additional inventors are being named on the supplemental Additional Inventor(s) PTO/SB/02A attached hereto.

## DECLARATION

**ADDITIONAL INVENTOR(S)**  
**Supplemental Sheet**  
**Page 1 of 1**

**Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:**

☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

Given Name (first and middle [if any])

Family Name or Surname

**Patrick Lie-Chin**

LIE CHIN CHEONG

**Inventor's Signature**

Date 20 Jan. 2000

Residence:

City Nepean State Ontario Country Canada Citizenship Canadian

Post Office Address 522 - 25 Woodridge Crescent

City Nepean Province or State Ontario Postal Or Zip K2B 7T4 Code Country Canada

**Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:**

☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

Given Name (first and middle [if any])

Family Name or Surname

**Inventor's Signature**

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Residence:

City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Country \_\_\_\_\_ Citizenship \_\_\_\_\_

**Post Office Address**

City \_\_\_\_\_ Province or State \_\_\_\_\_ Postal Code \_\_\_\_\_ Country \_\_\_\_\_

**Name of Additional Joint Inventor, if any:**

☐ A petition has been filed for this unsigned inventor

**Given Name (first and middle [if any])**

**Family Name or Surname**

Inventor's Signature

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Residence:

Residence.  
City \_\_\_\_\_ State \_\_\_\_\_ Country \_\_\_\_\_ Citizenship \_\_\_\_\_

Post Office Address

City	Province or State	Postal Or Zip	Code	Country
------	-------------------	---------------	------	---------

☐ Additional inventors are being named on the supplemental Additional Inventor(s) PTO/SB/02A attached hereto.